

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,016	01/22/2002	Daniel Gilbert		7629	
75	i90 I <b>0/24/2002</b>				
Harry Booyens			EXAMINER		
Creo Products I 3700 Gilmore V	Vay		SEVER, ANDREW T		
Burnaby, BC V	V5G 4MI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chimbh			2851		
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			4 3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	10/051,016	GILBERT, DANIEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew T Sever	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence addi	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIR	E 3 MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period' - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I36(a). In no event, however, by within the statutory minimu will apply and will expire SIX	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come	munication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		·
,	nis action is non-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	iwn from consideration	on.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-13</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10 and 14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	int.	
Application Papers	or		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01/22/2002 is/are: a) □		ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	accepted of b) 00)	n abevance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine	•
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 L	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, ,		
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been receive	ed.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric	ority documents have ureau (PCT Rule 17.	e been received in this National S 2(a)).	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis			application)
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			application).
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	[]	Andrew Comment (DTO, MO) Beneval 19	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s otice of Informal Patent Application (PTC ther:	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,016

Art Unit: 2851

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10 fails to disclose which claim it is dependent on. Assuming it is dependent indirectly (through claim 9 or an equivalent claim) on either claim 1, 2, or 8, it would be allowable since those base claims are allowable if correctly written so that it discloses what claim it is dependent on.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

3. Claim14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okamura et al. (US 6,151,099.)

Application/Control Number: 10/051,016

Art Unit: 2851

Okamura teaches a Computer-to-Plate machine which comprises a video projector (device including parts 24, 22, 20, 19, 18, 17, 16, and 14), a printing plate (4) mounted on a rotating drum (2) and a galvanometer scanner (VM: vibrating mirror which is specified in column 8 lines 17-29 to optionally be a galvanometer) placed between the video projector and the printing plate (4) in figure 1.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-9 and 11-13 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Independent claims 1, 2, and 8 claim a method of projection images from a two-dimensional light valve onto a continuously moving object such as taught in figure 1 of US patent to Schiffman (US 4,102,734) (Schiffman uses film instead of a two-dimensional light valve, but those with ordinary skill in the art recognize that film and light valves are interchangeable.) These claims further claim a method of placing a scanning element between the light valve and the object such as taught by Wakebe (US 4,956,655) in figure 1 where two galvanometer mirrors are driven to cause a projected image to move on a screen.) Also it is well known to use scanners with laser projectors such as taught in figure 1 of Lee (US 6,426,781.)

Claims 1, 2, and 8 further claim, however, that the scanning elements is synchronized with the light valve and the continuously moving object in a manner making the image stationary relative to the object. This was not found in the prior art. The prior art revealed either the scanner being used to raster scan a picture such as is

Application/Control Number: 10/051,016

Art Unit: 2851

done with laser scanners or to move the projected image while the background and therefore the object stayed stationary. No examples of the scanner moving the image in such a way as to make the image stationary relative to the moving object were found.

Therefore claims 1-9 and 11-13 are allowable over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew T Sever whose telephone number is 703-305-4036. The examiner can normally be reached M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russell Adams can be reached at 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

AS October 17, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800